

JULIAN M. CARROLL

GOVERNOR

IRIS R. BARRETT

XOSHRC Decision + Order no. 186 KENTUCKY OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH

REVIEW COMMISSION

CAPITAL PLAZA TOWER
FRANKFORT, KENTUCKY 40601
PHONE (502) 564-6892

November 5, 1975

H.L. STOWERS

MERLE H. STANTON

CHARLES B. UPTON

KOSHRC # 167

COMMISSIONER OF LABOR COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

COMPLAINANT

VS.

FERROCRAFT DIVISION OF DART INDUSTRIES, INC.

RESPONDENT

### DECISION AND ORDER OF REVIEW COMMISSION

Before STOWERS, Chairman; UPTON and STANTON, Commissioners.

PER CURIAM:

A Recommended Order of Hearing Officer John T. Fowler, Sr., dated September 30, 1975, is before the Commission for review.

In the final paragraph of his Recommended Order, the Hearing Officer has incorrectly reinstated an abatement date of last June 30, 1975, creating an impossibility of timely abatement by Respondent.

The Review Commission therefore corrects the Recommended Order to reflect an abatement date 30 days from the date the Recommended Order becomes final. The final abatement date of November 26, 1975 is hereby set, and it is the unanimous order of this

Commission that all findings of the Hearing Officer in this case be and they hereby are AFFIRMED in all respects not inconsistent with this opinion.

Charles B. Upton, Commissioner

H. L. Stowers

H. L. Stowers, Chairman

Merle H. Stanton
Merle H. Stanton, Commissioner

DATED: November 5, 1975

Frankfort, Kentucky

DECISION NO. 186

This is to certify that a copy of this Decision and Order has been served by mailing or personal delivery on the following:

Commissioner of Labor Commonwealth of Kentucky

Attention: Honorable Michael D. Ragland

Executive Director for

Occupational Safety and Health

Honorable Earl Cornett, General Counsel

Department of Labor.

Frankfort, Kentucky 40601 Attention: Thomas M. Rhoads Assistant Counsel

Mr. Merle L. Meeder, Pres. (Certified Mail #456483) Ferrocraft Division of Dart Industries, Inc. P. O. Box 55 Morganfield, Kentucky 42437

This 5th day of November, 1975.

Iris R. Barrett, Executive Director







IRIS R. BARRETT EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

### KENTUCKY OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH

### REVIEW COMMISSION

CAPITAL PLAZA TOWER
FRANKFORT, KENTUCKY 40601
PHONE (502) 564-6892

Sept. 30, 1975

H. L. STOWERS

MERLE H. STANTON

CHARLES B. UPTON

KOSHRC # 167

COMMISSIONER OF LABOR COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

VS.

FERROCRAFT DIV. OF DART INDUSTRIES, INC.

COMPLAINANT

RESPONDENT

# NOTICE OF RECEIPT OF RECOMMENDED ORDER, AND ORDER OF THIS COMMISSION

All parties to the above-styled action before this Review Commission will take notice that pursuant to our Rules of Procedure a Decision, Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Recommended Order is attached hereto as a part of this Notice and Order of this Commission.

You will further take notice that pursuant to Section 48 of our Rules of Procedure, any party aggrieved by this decision may within 25 days from date of this Notice submit a petition for discretionary review by this Commission.

Pursuant to Section 47 of our Rules of Procedure, jurisdiction in this matter now rests solely in this Commission, and it is hereby ordered that unless this Decision, Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Recommended Order is called for review and further consideration by a member of this Commission within 30 days of this date, it is adopted and affirmed as the Decision, Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Final Order of this Commission in the above-styled matter.

Parties will not receive further communication from the Review Commission unless a Direction for Review has been filed by one or more Review Commission members. Copy of this Notice and Order has been served by mailing or personal delivery on the following:

Commissioner of Labor
Commonwealth of Kentucky
Frankfort, Kentucky 40601
Attention: Honorable Michael D. Ragland
Executive Director for
Occupational Safety & Health

Honorable Earl M. Cornett General Counsel Department of Labor Frankfort, Kentucky 40601 Attention: Thomas M. Rhoads Assistant Counsel

Mr. Merle L. Meeder, Pres. (Certified Mail # 467041) Ferrocraft Division of Dart Industries, Inc. P.O. Box 55 Morganfield, Kentucky 42437

This 30th day of September, 1975.

Iris R. Barrett Executive Director

## COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY KENTUCKY OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH REVIEW COMMISSION

KOSHRC Docket No. 167

COMMISSIONER OF LABOR COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY,

Complainant.

vs.

DECISION, FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW, AND RECOMMENDED ORDER

FERROCRAFT DIVISION OF DART INDUSTRIES, INC.,

Respondent.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

Hon. Thomas M. Rhoads, Assistant Counsel, Department of Labor Frankfort, Kentucky, for Complaintant.

Hon. Merle L. Meeder, President, Ferrocraft Division of Dart Industries, Inc., P.O. Box 55, Morganfield, Kentucky 42437, Present Per Se Without Counsel.

FOWLER - Hearing Officer.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

An inspection was made on June 4, 1975, by the Kentucky Department of Labor, Division of Occupational Safety and Health, at a place of employment located at 305 West Morton Street, Morganfield, Kentucky, and also at the Breckenridge Job Corps Center, apparently in the same City, and on the basis of the inspection it was alleged in a Citation dated June 19, 1975, that Respondent violated the provisions of KRS Chapter 338 (Kentucky Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1972) in the following respects, which were alleged to be other than a serious violation:

There were six (6) Citations issued against Respondent and only one (1) Citation was protested, that being Item No.3 which alleged a violation of 29 CFR 1910.212(a)(5), a description of the alleged violation being as follows:

"The metal blades of a portable fan less than seven

(7) feet above the floor or working level located in
the east end section of shearing department in the
fabricating shop were not equipped with a guard having
openings no larger than one-half (1/2) inch."

The date by which the alleged violation must be corrected was June 30, 1975.

The procedural pertinent information and dates are as follows:

- 1. Inspection of the premises mentioned above June 4,1975.
- Citation issued June 19, 1975, listing six (6) violations, No. 3 being the only contest.
- 3. Proposed penalty for the violation was \$31.00 and the abatement date June 30, 1975.
- 4. Notice of Contest received July 1, 1975, contesting Item No. 3.
- 5. Notice of Contest with copy of Citations and proposed penalty transmitted to KOSH Review Commission July 3, 1975
- 6. Notice of Receipt of Contest mailed July 7, 1975.
- 7. Certification of Employer Form received July 9, 1975.
- 8. Complaint received July 9, 1975. No formal answer filed but no complaint is made of same by the Department.
- 9. Case assigned to Hearing Officer August 18, 1975; hearing scheduled and held September 9, 1975, at 10:00 A.M. (CDT) at the Henderson County Area Vocational and Educational Center at 2440 Zion Road, Henderson, Kentucky.

The aforesaid hearing was held under the provisions of KRS 338.071(4), one of the provisions dealing with the safety and

health of employees which authorizes the Review Commission to hear and rule on appeals from Citations, Notifications and variances issued under the provisions of this Chapter, and to adopt and promulgate rules and regulations with respect to procedural aspects of the hearings. Under the provisions of KRS 338.081, hearing was authorized by provisions of said Chapter and such may be conducted by a Hearing Officer appointed by the Review Commission to serve in its place. After hearing and appeal, the Review Commission may sustain, modify or dismiss a Citation or penalty.

After hearing the testimony of the witnesses, having considered same, together with the exhibits filed and the Stipulations and Representations of the Parties, it is concluded that the substantial evidence on the record considered as a whole supports the following findings of fact.

#### FINDINGS OF FACT

Jurisdiction of the Parties in the subject matter and due and timely notice of the hearings was stipulated by the Parties.

Respondent was in violation of Item No. 3, the only item contested herein, both by the facts introduced by the Department of Labor and by Respondent's own statements.

The penalty for Item No. 3 of \$31.00 appears to be reasonable and appropriate based on the facts and description of the admitted violation, and the described method by which the Compliance Officer arrived at the penalty amount.

### CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Complainant has furnished the Hearing Officer with proof of the violation of the section reflecting the protested charge and such charge is not, in fact, denied by Respondent but rather, admitted.

The Respondent's opinion is that the first offense violation should be by warning only and while the Hearing Officer appreciates this position, it is, nevertheless, not in accordance with the existing law and regulations and must, therefore, fail.

Off the record Respondent was advised of certain safety programs that exist and seemed anxious to receive help in this regard in further compliance with the regulations.

The Commissioner has met the burden of proof in the Citation and proposed penalty, and the proposed abatement date should stand.

#### RECOMMENDED ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that Item No. 3 in the original Citation, the only Citation protested, being a violation of 29 CFR 1910.212(a)(5) (as adopted by 803 KAR 2.020) and the proposed penalty for said Item, and the abatement date of June 30, 1975, shall be and the same are hereby sustained.

JOHN T. FOWLER, SR.

Hearing Officer - KOSHRC

meller S

Dated: September 25, 1975. Frankfort, Kentucky

Decision No. 168